

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/885,663 06/20/2001 Ralph W. Heim 033449-500-CI 27805 7590 10/01/2003 THOMPSON HINE L.L.P. **EXAMINER** 2000 COURTHOUSE PLAZA, N.E. GORDON, STEPHEN T 10 WEST SECOND STREET DAYTON, OH 45402 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3612

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/885,663	HEIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen Gordon	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) and (1) CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	· ·	200.00,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: as per the final rejection.		
Claim(s) objected to: as per the final rejection.		
Claim(s) rejected: as per the final rejection.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: as per the	final rejection.	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	······································
10. Other:		Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The change of base claim 1 in lines 6-9 as it affects dependent claims 3-19 and 50-54 raises new issues with regard to these dependent claims and would require further consideration and/or search with regard thereto..